

The 23rd November, 1972

No. 12646-4Lab-72/47830.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Vinod Industries, Private Limited, 17/B, Industrial Area, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 57 of 1972

between

Shri Prahladi Lal workman and the Management of M/s Vinod Industries, Private Limited;
17/B, Industrial Area, Faridabad.

Present :

Shri B. M. Gupta, for the workman.

Shri R. C. Sharma, for the management.

AWARD

The following dispute between the management of M/s Vinod Industries Private Limited, 17/B, Industrial Area, Faridabad and its workman Shri Prahladi Lal was referred for adjudication to this Tribunal.—*vide* order No. ID/FD/72/36309, dated the 3rd October, 1972 under clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Whether the termination of Services of Shri Prahladi Lal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The parties have arrived at an amicable settlement. Statements of their authorised representatives have been recorded. Shri Prahladi Lal concerned workman who had joined service somewhere else has received payment of Rs. 150/- in full and final settlement of his entire claims against the present management and give up his right of reinstatement or re-employment.

In view of the above, there is now no dispute left between the parties and a no dispute award is made as requested by them. No order as to costs.

Dated the 16th November, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 1276, dated the 16th November, 1972.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to the Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated the 16th November, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

The 27th November, 1972

No. 12732-4Lab-72/47820.—In pursuance of provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s International Electricals, Industrial Area, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 109 of 1972

between

SHRI RAGHBIR PARSHAD SHARMA AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S INTERNATIONAL ELECTRICALS, INDUSTRIAL AREA, FARIDABAD

Present :

Nemo for the applicant.

Shri G. R. Gupta, for the management.

AWARD

The Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred for adjudication to this court the following dispute between the management of M/s International Electricals, Industrial Area, Faridabad and its workman Shri Raghbir Parshad Sharma,—*vide* order No. ID/FD/780-A-72/11126-30, dated 31st March, 1972 :—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Raghbir Parshad Sharma was justified and in order ? If not to what relief is he entitled ?”

On receipt of the order of reference usual notices were given to the parties for 20th September, 1972. Shri Raghbir Parshad Sharma concerned workman appeared on that date and filed his statement of claim. None was present on behalf of the management inspite of service and the case was adjourned for today for the evidence of the workmen but he has not put in his appearance in person or through his authorised representative. On the other hand, the management has filed a settlement arrived at with the workman on 28th October, 1972 under section 18 (i) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Statement of Shri G. R. Gupta authorised representative of the management has been recorded. According to him Shri Raghbir Parshad Sharma has received payment of Rs 325 in full and final settlement of his entire claims against the management and the order of the termination of his services has to be treated as voluntary retrenchment. The said settlement is signed by Shri Raghbir Parshad Sharma and witnessed by Shri Balwant Rai, Labour Inspector, Ballabgarh. There is apparently no reason to doubt the genuineness of the document and the presumption is that there is now no dispute left between the parties to call for adjudication especially when the concerned workman has not come forward to make even his own statement in support of his claim leading to the present reference inspite of getting adjournment of about two months.

In view of the above, a no dispute award is given. No order as to costs.

Dated : 16th November, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 2653, dated the 21st November, 1972

Forwarded (four copies) to Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour & Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 12740-4Lab-72/47822.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Faridabad Manufacturing (Engineering Products) (P) Ltd., Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 51 of 1972

between

SHRI AYODHAYA PARSHAD SHARMA, AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S FARIDABAD
MANUFACTURING (ENGINEERING PRODUCTS) (P) LTD., FARIDABAD

Present :

Nemo for the workman.

Shri Chander Parkash, for the management.

AWARD

The following dispute existing between the management of M/s Faridabad Manufacturing (Engineering Products) (P) Ltd., Faridabad and its workman Ayodhaya Parshad Sharma was referred for adjudication by the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Ayodhaya Parshad Sharma, was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?”

The concerned workman has not appeared today to pursue his claim. The management has pleaded full and final settlement of the dispute. Statement of Shri Chander P. rkash, Works Manager has been recorded. According to him Shri Ayodhaya Parshad Sharma, workman has received payment of Rs. 162.02 in full and final settlement of his entire claims against the management,—*vide* memorandum of settlement, dated 28th July, 1972 Ex. M. 1 giving up his right of reinstatement or re-employment.

In view of the above, a no-dispute award is given No. order as to costs.

Dated 16th November, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 2648, dated 21st November, 1972

Forwarded four copies to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated 16th November, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 12737-4Lab-72/47824.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Delhi Faridabad Textiles Mills, Ballabgarh.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 164 of 1971

between

SHRI FAZAL MOHAMAD AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S DELHI FARIDABAD
TEXTILES MILLS, BALLABGARH

Present.

Nemo, for the workman.

Shri Jaswant Singh, for the management.

AWARD

The material facts giving rise to this reference under clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 may shortly be stated as under:—

Shri Fazal Mohamad concerned workman joined service with M/s Delhi Faridabad Textile Mills, Ballabgarh as an Electrician. The management terminated his services allegedly on the ground that he had failed to produce experience certificate from some electrical authority as required under the conditions of his service. He raised a demand for his reinstatement which was not acceded to by the management. This gave rise to an industrial dispute which has been referred for adjudication to this court by the Governor of Haryana,—*vide* order No. ID/FD/677A-71/26962-66, dated 1st September, 1971 with the following term of reference:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Fazal Mohamad was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

On receipt of the order of reference usual notices were given to the parties and they put in their respective written statements. The following issues arose for determination from the pleadings of the parties:—

1. Whether the applicant was appointed on the condition that he would furnish the certificate of competency to work as an Electrician from a recognised institution?
2. If the above issue is proved whether the applicant did provide the certificate of competency?

3. Whether the applicant became absent from duty from 17th May, 1971 onwards and abandoned the employment of his own freewill?
4. If the above issues are found in favour of the workman whether the termination of services of Shri Fazal Mohamad was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The management has examined one witness Shri B. R. Jain, Manager who has deposed that Shri Fazal Mohamad was appointed as an Electrician on this condition that he would produce the certificate of his having passed some course as an Electrician, failing which his services would be terminated. He has further deposed that he was repeatedly asked to produce the requisite certificate which he did not and finally letter Exhibit M. 1/1 was issued in this respect calling upon him to produce the certificate within 48 hours but he refused to accept the letter nor did he produce the certificate. According to the statement of Shri Jain this workmen absented himself from duty without any authorisation from 17th of May, 1971, till 31st of May, 1971 when his name was struck off the rolls.

Shri Fazal Mohamad concerned workman has not come forward to pursue his claim and made his own statement on oath what to speak of producing any further evidence. In the circumstances, I did not find any reasonable ground to disbelieve the statement of the Manager Shri B. R. Jain M. W. I. that the appointment of this workman as Electrician which is a technical job was subject to the production of a certificate from some competent authority to the effect that he was qualified to work as such. Even if it be assumed for the sake of argument that it was not necessary for him to produce any such certificate once he had been appointed as an Electrician by the management it appears to be a clear case of abandonment of service in view of the unauthorised absent from duty by Shri Fazal Mohamad from 17th May, 1971 to 31st May, 1971. There is nothing on the record to refute this allegation of the management as per the sworn testimony of M. W. I Shri B. R. Jain. Shri Fazal Mohamad concerned workman did plead in the statement of claim that the management had been actuated by considerations of victimisation on account of the union activities of his brother Nazar Mohamad who was the Presiding of Textile Mazdoor Union Regd., Faridabad. There is however, no evidence to support that contention and the mere fact that this workman happened to be a brother or the president of a Union of the workers did not give him immunity from discharging his duties regularly in accordance with the conditions for his services.

For the reasons aforesaid, issues numbers 1, 2, and 3 are decided against the workman holding that he is not entitled to any relief by way of reinstatement or back wages. The award is made accordingly, No. order as to costs.

Dated the 16th November, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

Endorsement No. 2646, dated 21st November, 1972

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour & Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 12739-4Lab-72/47818.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Faridabad Manufacturing (Engineering Products) (P) Ltd., Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI O.P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 38 of 1972

between

SHRI KISHAN CHAND SAINI AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S FARIDABAD MANUFACTURING
(ENGINEERING PRODUCTS) (P) LTD., FARIDABAD

Present :

Nemo, for the applicant.

Shri Chander Parkash, for the management.

AWARD

The following dispute existing between the management of M/s. Faridabad Manufacturing (Engineering Products) (P) Ltd., Faridabad and its workman Shri Kishan Chand Saini was referred for adjudication by the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Kishan Chand Saini was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?”

The concerned workman has not appeared today to pursue his claim. The management has pleaded full and final settlement of the dispute. Statement of Shri Chander Parkish, Works Manager has been recorded. According to him Shri Kishan Chand Saini, workman has received payment of Rs 339.88 in full and final settlement of his entire claims against the management,—*vide* memorandum of settlement, dated 1st July, 1972, Ex. M. 1 giving up his right of reinstatement or re-employment.

In view of the above, a no dispute award is given. No order as to costs.

Dated 16th November, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 2649, dated the 21st November, 1972.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated 16th November, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 12731-4Lab-72/47835.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Paramount Rubber Industries, N.I.T., Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTK
Reference No. 198 of 1971

between

SHRI POKHAR DASS AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S PARAMOUNT RUBBER INDUSTRIES,
NIT, FARIDBAD

Present.—

Nemo, for the workman.

Shri R. C. Sharma, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/719-A-71/31685-89, dated 26th October, 1971 of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s. Paramount Rubber Industries, N.I.T., Faridabad and its workman Shri Pokhar Dass was referred for adjudication to this court, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Pokhar Dass was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?”

Usual notices were given to the parties. The management filed the written statement on 24th January, 1972 contesting the claim of the workman on several grounds pleading *inter alia* that he had finally settled his dispute as per agreement, dated 20th October, 1968. The following issues were framed by my learned predecessor on 21st February 1972 :—

- (1) Whether the services of the workman stand terminated as per agreement, dated 20th October, 1968, which were arrived at due to the closure of the factory ?

- (2) Whether the workmen has been paid his dues?
- (3) Whether the reinstatement of the applicant is not possible because the respondent is only doing job work of other concerns and there is no work for the applicant?
- (4) If the above issues are found in favour of the workman, whether the termination of services of Shri Pokhar Dass was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On behalf of the management its authorised representative Shri R. C. Sharma came into the witness box and deposed that as per agreement dated 20th October, 1968 Exhibit M. 1 the dispute had been settled with this and other workmen and he had been paid Rs. 119.60 in full and final settlement of his claims. The said agreement purports to have been signed by Shri Pokhar Dass and a number of other workmen as well as by Shri R. C. Sharma. Shri Pokhar Dass has not come forward to make a statement on oath to deny the agreement and the plea of final settlement of the dispute raised by the management. His authorised representative Shri Roshan Lal Sharma has also not appeared today to pursue his claim.

Taking into consideration the facts of the case as discussed above, I have no reason to disbelieve the plea of settlement of the dispute raised on behalf of the management. The agreement Exhibit M. 1 which purports to have been signed by about 15 workmen cannot be held to be a forged document in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

That disposes of issues Nos. 1, 2 and 4 which are decided against the workman holding that he is not entitled to any relief by way of reinstatement etc. The award is accordingly made. No order as to costs.

Dated 14th November, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 2654, dated 21st November, 1972.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 12733-4 Lab-72/47837.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Gizzondro Tractors (P) Ltd., Railway Road, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 48 of 1972

between

SHRI CHANDAN SINGH AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. GIZONDRO TRACTORS
(P) LTD., RAILWAY ROAD, FARIDABAD

Present.—

Shri D. N. Dugal, for the management.

Nemo, for the workman.

AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/769-A-71/4237, dated 7th February, 1972, of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s. Gizzondro Tractors (P) Ltd., Railway Road, Faridabad and its workman Shri Chandan Singh was referred for adjudication to this court, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Chandan Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?”

Usual notices were given to the parties. The management filed the written statement on 9th June, 1972 pleading a final settlement and payment in full of the dues of the workman. Shri Amar Singh authorised representative of the workman was present on the last date had obtained adjournment for filing the replication of the workman and the case was fixed for this purpose today. No replication has been filed nor has the workman come forward in person or through his representative to make his statement denying the above plea of the management.

Statement of Shri D. N. Dugal, Office Executive of the management has been recorded. According to him Shri Chandan Singh concerned workman had joined service on 24th October, 1970 and had absented himself from duty with effect from 8th September, 1971 till 19th September, 1971 without any authorisation and after attending his duty only for one day on 20th September, 1971 he had again absented without any leave and his name was, therefore, struck off the rolls on 27th September, 1971. Mr. Dugal has further stated that this workman came on 25th October, 1971 and asked for the settlement of his account and he was accordingly paid Rs 40.00 in full and final settlement of his dues against payment voucher Ex. M. 1 duly signed by him.

Taking into consideration the facts state above, I do not find that any dispute exists between the parties and there is manifestly no reason to disbelieve the statement of the Office Executive of the management that this workman had already settled his claim as discussed above. A no dispute award is, therefore, made. No order as to costs.

Dated 14th November, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,

Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 2652, dated 21st November, 1972.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,

Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 12734-4Lab-72/47870.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. H. R. Gupta Industries, Bahadurgarh.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 92 of 1970

between

SHRI RAJA RAM AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. H. R. GUPTA INDUSTRIES,
BAHADURGARH

Present.—

Shri Onkar Parshad, for the workman.

Shri H. K. Gupta, for the management.

AWARD

The following dispute existing between the management of M/s. H. R. Gupta Industries, Bahadurgarh and its employee Shri Raja Ram was referred for adjudication to this court by the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Raja Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

The parties have arrived at an amicable settlement. Their statements have been recorded. According to the settlement Shri Raja Ram concerned workman has received payment of Rs 600 today in the court in full and final settlement of his entire claims against the management giving up his right of reinstatement or re-employment. A no dispute award is, therefore, given as desired by the parties. No order as to costs.

Dated 10th November, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 2651, dated 21st November, 1972.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated 10th November, 1972.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana,
Rohtak.

No. 12735-4Lab-72/47872.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Thomson Press (India) Ltd., Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,
ROHTAK

Reference No. 52 of 1972

between

SHRI SATYA PAL AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. THOMSON PRESS (INDIA) LTD.,
FARIDABAD

Present:—

Shri Satya Pal, workman himself.

Shri K. P. Aggarwal, for the management.

AWARD

The following disputes existing between the management of M/s. Thomson Press (India) Ltd., Faridabad and its workman Shri Satya Pal was referred for adjudication to this Court by the Governor of Haryana,—*vide* order No. ID/FD/429-D-71/4620, dated 9th February, 1972, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Satya Pal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?”

The parties have arrived at an amicable settlement. Their statements have been recorded. Shri Satya Pal concerned workman has received payment of his dues from the management in full and final settlement of his entire claims giving up the right of reinstatement or re-employment. According to him there is now no dispute left between the parties. A no-dispute award is accordingly made. No order as to costs.

O. P. SHARMA,

The 13th November, 1972.

Presiding Officer,

Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

Endorsement No. 2650, dated 21st November, 1972

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,

Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

S. N. BHANOT, Commr. & Secy.

LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENTS

The 28th November, 1972

No. 47826.—In consultation with the Haryana Public Service Commission, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to order the appointment by promotion of Shri Banarsi Lal, Head Assistant, Directorate of Employment, Haryana, Chandigarh to the post of Assistant Employment Officer in the scale of Rs 250—25—450/25—550 with effect from 22nd December, 1971 (forenoon).

S. N. BHANOT, Commr. & Secy.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

The 22nd November, 1972

No. 3208-ARI-72/42352.—In pursuance of the provisions of clause (bb) of section 2 of the Pepsu Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1955 (Act No. 13 of 1955), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to specially empower Shri H. D. Bansal, IAS, Director of Consolidation and Land Records, with headquarters at Chandigarh, to perform all the functions assigned to the Collector under the said Act, in respect of the territories of the State of Haryana, which immediately before the 1st November, 1956, were comprised in the State of Patiala and East Punjab States Union.

Chandigarh, dated the
6th November, 1972.

D. D. SHARMA, Secy.

राजस्व विभाग

युद्ध जागीर

दिनांक 28 नवम्बर, 1972

क्रमांक 4207-ज(II)-72/43096.—पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसमें आज तक हरियाणा सरकार द्वारा संशोधन किया गया है), की धारा 2(ए)(1) तथा 3(1) के अनुसार सौंपे गए अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल श्री ध्याना राम, पुत्र श्री जुमा राम, गांव फतेहपुर, तहसील कंथल, जिला करनाल को रखी, 1966 से रखी, 1970 तक 100 रुपये वार्षिक तथा खरोफ, 1970 से 150 रुपये वार्षिक कीमत वाली युद्ध जागीर, सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अनुसार सहै प्रदान करते हैं।